#### Agnel Charities'



# SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



#### Agnel Charities'



# Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

## ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name of the A	lumni :					
Father's Nam		65				
DOB (DD/MN	1/YY) :					
Year of Passin	g:			Depa	rtment :	
Permanent Ac	ldress :			100		
E-mail ID :	unionimum un	5,50	erický	Mobi	le No. :	2010412
Present	Type:	Self-employed	Private Secto	r Public S	ector [Academi	cs Other
Organization	Name:	Name:		1900		
Designation :	12			Prese	nt Location :	
Field of worki	ng : 🔲	Core Inter-dise	ciplinary 1	T Industry	Administration	n Other
(h) circles	900	Master's Degre	e Ph.D.	Not Appli	cable 🗌 Other	
Higher Edu	ation	Year of admission	;	Name of the P	rogramme :	
		Name of the Institu	ate:		Instanti di 1500.	
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the	me.  dequate  of OV	mess of the courses of ery Good O Good ry of syllabus conten ery Good O Good	offered in the pr OAverage at to bridge the	ogram. O Poor		mprove quality ustry.
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the OExcelle 3. Rate the OExcelle 4. Rate the	me.  adequate at OV sufficience at OV curricult at OV skills acq	mess of the courses o ery Good O Good ry of syllabus conten	Offered in the property of the Average of Carrent professional Average of Carrent professional to face to the professional to the professi	ogram. O Poor gap between s O Poor ssional stands O Poor	ncademia and indu	ustry.
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the OExcelle 3. Rate the OExcelle 4. Rate the OExcelle 5. Rate the	adequate at OV sufficient at OV surricult at OV skills acq at OV astitute	cuess of the courses of cry Good  Go	offered in the property of the Average of Carrent professional and the Average of Carrent to face to Average of Carrent adequations and carrent adequations adequations and carrent adequations adequations adequations and carrent adequations adequations and carrent adequations adequation adequations adequation adequation adequation adequation adequation adequation a	ogram. Poor  Poor Poor ssional stands Poor he industry of	readomia and indured urds. hallenges/require	ustry.
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the OExcelle 3. Rate the OExcelle 4. Rate the OExcelle 5. Rate the OExcelle 6. Rate the	adequate at OV sufficient at OV curriculu at OV skills acq at OV astitute at OV	mess of the courses of ery Good	offered in the property of the Average of Caverage of the Cave	ogram. O Poor gap between r O Poor ssional stands O Poor he industry cl O Poor steness for pro	ncademia and industries. hallenges/requires actical exposure.	ustry.
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the OExcelle 3. Rate the OExcelle 4. Rate the OExcelle 5. Rate the OExcelle 6. Rate the OExcelle 7. Rate the	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surricult at OV skills acquit OV astitute at OV offering of	tness of the courses of ery Good  Go	offered in the property of the Average of extra learning of extra learning of the property of	Poor  Selection of the industry of Poor  He industry of Poor  Heness for proper of Poor  Advancement	academia and indureds, hallenges/require actical exposure.	ustry.
1. Rate the OExcelle 3. Rate the OExcelle 4. Rate the OExcelle 5. Rate the OExcelle 6. Rate the OExcelle 7. Rate the OExcelle 8. Rate the OExcelle	adequate at OV sufficient at OV surricult at OV skills acq at OV fering of at OV lesign of	mess of the courses of ery Good Good Good Good min relation to you ery Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo	offered in the property of the Careage of Average of Average of the Careage of th	pogram. Poor Poor ssional stands Poor he industry cl Poor teness for pro Poor advancement Poor advancement Poor	academia and indureds. hallenges/requiredsctical exposure. ts. rning .	ustry.
the program  1. Rate the OExcelle  2. Rate the OExcelle  3. Rate the OExcelle  4. Rate the OExcelle  5. Rate the OExcelle  6. Rate the OExcelle  7. Rate the OExcelle  8. Rate the OExcelle  9. Rate the	adequate at OV sufficient at OV curriculu at OV akills acq at OV attute at OV design of at OV raining at OV	mess of the courses of ery Good  Goo	offered in the property of the Daverage of Average of Average of Extra learns of Average of Extra learns of Ex	pogram, Poor  Poor ssional stands Poor he industry cl Poor teness for pro Poor advancement Poor advancement Poor placement op Poor	academia and indureds. hallenges/requiredsctical exposure. ts. rning .	ustry.
the program  1. Rate the OExcelle  2. Rate the OExcelle  3. Rate the OExcelle  4. Rate the OExcelle  5. Rate the OExcelle  6. Rate the OExcelle  7. Rate the OExcelle  8. Rate the OExcelle  9. Rate the OExcelle  10. Rate the	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surricult at OV skills acq at OV nstitute at OV raining at OV raining at OV raining	tress of the courses of ery Good  Go	offered in the property of the Daverage of Average of Average of Extra learns of Average of Extra learns of Ex	pogram. Poor  Poor  Poor  be industry of Poor  teness for pro Poor  advancement Poor  go or self-lead Poor  placement op Poor  placement op Poor  pro Poor  placement op Poor  pro Poor  placement op Poor  pro Poor	ncademia and induceds.  hallenges/requirentical exposure.  ts.  rning .  sportunities.	ustry. ments.



# SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK COLLECTED

## Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

mmanish9876@gmail.com

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name \* Manish Manepalli Father's Name \* MSK Sarma Date of Birth \* MM DD YYYY 02 / 26 / 1995 Year of Passing \* 2018 Department \* **Computer Engineering** Permanent Address \* E-1402 Patel Heritage Sec-7, Kharghar 410210. Email \*

Mobile No. *
9619528330
Details About Your Present Organisation
Please Give details about your current organisation
Type of Organisation *
○ Self Employed
O Private Sector
O Public Sector
Acdemics
Other
Name of Current Organisation *
TIFR
Designation *
Junior Research Fellow
Current Location *
Colaba, Mumbai

Field of Working *
○ Core
Inter Disciplinary
O IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education  Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
O PhD
Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
Name of the Program *
Name of the Institute *

## Feedback

OPoor

Plea	ase rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. I	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
0	Good
<b>o</b>	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor
2. I	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
•	Poor
3. I	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
0	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
0	Good
•	Average

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. $^\star$
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
0	Very Good
<b>•</b>	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
5. I	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
<b>o</b>	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
0	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
<b>•</b>	Poor
7. I	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
0	Good
•	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
<ul><li>Average</li></ul>
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):*
More robust focus on Computer science foundations, beyond university prescribed format, on Data structures and Algorithms. Useful for both academia and employment.
Students should be actively encouraged to seek internships outside. The student should be made aware that the department will be flexible and cooperative, if they can bag good opportunities.

Date \*

Digital Signature *	
Manish M	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *
Anishaa Reddy
Father's Name *
J R Reddy
Date of Birth *  MM DD YYYY
05 / 06 / 1997
Year of Passing *
2019
Department *
Extc
Permanent Address *
602, Rajashree Tower, Behind Mhaskar Hospital, Near Sai Baba Mandir, Rambaug lane 6, Kalyan West
Email *
Email *
anishaareddy@yahoo.co.in

Mobile No. *
9930713236
Details About Your Present Organisation
Please Give details about your current organisation
Type of Organisation *
○ Self Employed
Private Sector
O Public Sector
○ Acdemics
Other
Name of Current Organisation *
Maxval Technologies
Designation *
Software developer
Current Location *
Navi Mumbai

Field of Working *
○ Core
O Inter Disciplinary
IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education  Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
○ PhD
Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
NA
Name of the Program *
NA
Name of the Institute *
NA

## Feedback

OPoor

Plea	se rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. F	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
0	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
•	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor
2. F	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
0	Very Good
•	Good
0	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor
3. F	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
<ul><li>•</li></ul>	Average

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. $^{\star}$
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
<b>•</b>	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
0	Poor
5. F	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
0	Very Good
<b>•</b>	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
•	Very Good
0	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
0	Poor
7. F	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
<b>•</b>	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s): *
Since IT is a major sector and offers many job opportunities it would be better to include some basic programming languages in curriculum such as java, c# etc.  And syllabus of some subjects such as EIM, TVE, WTP can be reduced.  Also giving more importance to practicals compared to theory lectures would help further in industry.

Digital Signature *
Anishaa

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name \* Shashank Father's Name \* Surendra Date of Birth \* MM DD YYYY 09 / 03 / 1993 Year of Passing \* 2018 Department \* **ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING** Permanent Address \* 1 RAJMAHAL CHS, TALWALKAR GYM BUILDING, MADANLAL DHINGRA ROAD, PACHPAKHADI THANE WEST Email \*

Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ssd3993@gmail.com

Mobile No. *
9860017213
Details About Your Present Organisation
Please Give details about your current organisation
Type of Organisation *
○ Self Employed
Private Sector
O Public Sector
○ Acdemics
Other
Name of Current Organisation *
Energus Pty Ltd
Designation *
Project Coordinator
Current Location *
Sydney

Field of Working *
Core
O Inter Disciplinary
○ IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education
Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
O PhD
O Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
18/02/2019
Name of the Program *
Renewable Energy Engineering
Name of the Institute *
University of New South Wales

## Feedback

OPoor

riedse rate your valuable reedback on the cumculum for review or synabus / to improve quality or the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. $^\star$
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
<b>•</b>	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor
5. F	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
<ul><li>•</li></ul>	Very Good
$\bigcirc$	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
0	Very Good
<b>•</b>	Good
0	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor
7. F	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
$\bigcirc$	Excellent
$\bigcirc$	Very Good
•	Good
$\bigcirc$	Average
$\bigcirc$	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):*
<ol> <li>1- Curriculum can be more industrial/practical knowledge oriented.</li> <li>2-Assignments/Tutorials must be updated every year as per changes in market</li> <li>3- More stress can be provided on softwares training or knowledge (ex AUTOCad, PSCAD, ETAP etc)</li> <li>4- Electives options should be open for all subjects</li> <li>5- For final year students Or third year students, Industry expert talks can be organised so as to know what is actually needed in the market.</li> </ol>

te *	
DD YYYY	
/ 22 / 2019	
gital Signature *	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# **(2)**

# SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

#### Agnel Charities'

## FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

## Summary of Alumni Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

#### <u>Total number of Alumni given feedback :- 163</u>

	Rating Percentage											
Sr.N o	Details	No of Alumni rated '5'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '4'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '3'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '2'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '1'	% of Alumni	Average Percentage
1	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program	25	15.3%	52	31.9%	53	32.5%	23	14.1%	10	6.1%	67%
2	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry	16	9.8%	29	17.8%	54	33.1%	39	23.7%	25	15.3%	56%
3	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards	20	12.4%	41	25.2%	49	30.4%	39	23.3%	14	8.6%	61%
4	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements	23	14.1%	41	25.2%	53	32.5%	28	17.2%	18	11%	62%
5	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure	27	16.6%	56	34.4%	49	30.1%	20	12.3%	11	6.6%	68%
6	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements	30	18.4%	48	29.4%	40	24.6%	23	14.1%	22	13.5%	65%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning	21	12.9%	46	28.2%	47	28.8%	32	19.7%	17	10.4%	62%
8	Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities	17	10.4%	33	20.2%	57	35%	27	16.6%	29	17.8%	57%
9	Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers	48	29.6%	56	34.4%	33	20.2%	15	9.2%	11	6.6%	74%
10	Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students	23	14.1%	56	34.4%	53	32.5%	14	8.6%	17	10.4%	66%